

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/790,337 | 03/01/2004 | Pak-Wing S. Chum | 43225-42977BUSC | 9397 | |
| 51738 | 7590 05/09/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP 711 LOUISIANA | | | NUTTER, N | ATHAN M | |
| SUITE 3400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| HOUSTON, | TX 77002-2716 | | 1711 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Response to Rule 312 Communication | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) CHUM ET AL. | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | once to Bule 212 Communication | 10/790,337 | | | | |
| | | mise to Rule 312 Communication | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | Nathan M. Nutter | 1711 | | | |
| | | The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address – | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. ☑ The amendment filed on <u>07 April 2005</u> under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: | | | | | | | |
| | a) ∐ | entered. | • | | | | |
| | b) 🗌 | entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. | | | | | |
| | c) 🗌 | disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. | | | | | |
| | Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. | | | | | | |
| | d) 🛛 | disapproved. See explanation below. | • | | | | |
| | e) 🗌 | entered in part. See explanation below. | | | | | |
| - | of the applic | The amendment will not be entered. The amendment has not been shown to be needed for proper disclosure or protection of the patent, and would require more than a nominal consideration on the part of the Office. The Remarks submitted by applicants do not suffice to show why these claims were not presented earlier, or why they would be deemed patentable, except that they depend from an allowed claim. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

WU